Supporting Information for

# Ultrahigh Density of Atomic CoFe-Electron Synergy in Noncontinuous Carbon Matrix for Highly Efficient Magnetic Wave Adsorption

Wenhuan Huang<sup>1,</sup> \*, Qiang Qiu<sup>1</sup>, Xiufang Yang<sup>1</sup>, Shouwei Zuo<sup>1</sup>, Jianan Bai<sup>1</sup>, Huabin Zhang<sup>2,</sup> \*, Ke Pei<sup>3</sup>, and Renchao Che<sup>3,</sup> \*

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Chemical Additives for China National Light Industry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup>KAUST Catalysis Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, 23955-6900, Thuwal, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Advanced Materials, Shanghai Key Lab of Molecular Catalysis and Innovative Materials, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, P. R. China

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>huangwenhuan@sust.edu.cn</u> (Wenhuan Huang), <u>huabin.zhang@kaust.edu.sa</u> (Huabin Zhang), <u>rcche@fudan.edu.cn</u> (Renchao Che)

# **S1** Experimental Section

# **S1.1 Synthesis of MET**

The synthesis of MET is according to the literature [S1]. ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (5.0 g) was dissolved in a mixture of ethanol (50 mL), water (75 mL), ammonium hydroxide (25%-28%, 20 mL) and N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 50 mL), and then kept stirring for 10 min. After that, 1H-1,2,3-triazole (6.26 mL) was slowly dropped in the solution during stirring. After a 24-hours stirring at room temperature, the white product of MET was generated and filtered out. After three times washes by ethanol, the product was dried at 80 °C for 8 h with a yield of 90%.

# S1.2 Synthesis of CoFe@MET, Fe@MET, and Co@MET

For synthesizing CoFe@MET, Co(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.72 g) and FeCl<sub>2</sub> (0.49 g) in a molar ratio of 1:1 were first dissolved in 200 mL methanol as a metal solution. Then, MET (2.0 g) powder was immersed into above solution, and then stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The pink powder was filtered out and washed by ethanol for three times. After the vacuum drying at 60 °C for 8h, the CoFe@MET was collected with a yield of 62%.

The Fe@MET and Co@MET were synthesized, as contrast samples, for investigating the internal Co-Fe synergistic effect and microwave absorbing mechanism. The synthesis of reseda Fe@MET (yield of 67%) and pink Co@MET (yield of 63%) were obtained in the similar way with CoFe@MET, except form the metal solutions are instead of FeCl<sub>2</sub> (0.98 g FeCl<sub>2</sub> in 200 mL methanol) and Co(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (1.44 g Co(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O in 200 mL methanol) solutions.

# S1.3 Synthesis of and CoFe@PCS, Fe@PCS, Co@PCS

The as-prepared 2.0 g CoFe@MET was put into the ceramic boat and then placed in the programmed tube furnace. It was heated up to 900 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> under the nitrogen atmosphere. After that, the furnace was kept at 900 °C for two hours and then naturally cooled to room temperature. The ultra-light black powder of CoFe@PCS (0.16g) was successfully synthesized. The Fe@PCS and Co@PCS were

synthesized at the same condition by using Fe@MET and Co@MET as precursors.

### **S2** Characterizations

D8 DAVANCI X-ray powder diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromatized Cu Ka radiation ( $\lambda = 0.1542$  nm) was used to record powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns in the 20 range of 5°-80° with a scanning rate of 1 °/min. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method was employed to calculate the specific surface area through nitrogen adsorption and desorption at 77 K by ASAP 2020 sorption system. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were collected by a Hitachi S4800 apparatus with an acceleration voltage of 2 kV. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded on JEM-2100F, JEM-2010HR, and FEI Talos F200X, working at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV and X-ray energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was taken on a JEM-2010HR-Vantage typed energy spectrometer. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was implemented on Thermo ESCA Lab250XI. Raman spectroscopy of the samples was obtained by a Renishaw in Via Raman Microscope. The electromagnetic parameters were analyzed using a HP8753D vector network analyzer in the frequency range of 2-18 GHz. The measured samples were dispersed in paraffin homogeneously with a sample-to-paraffin weight ratio of 3:17, and then the mixture was pressed into a toroidal shape with an inner diameter of 2.0 mm and an outer diameter of 7.0 mm. The conductivity of the samples  $(1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2)$  was performed through a ST2253 four-probe resistance meter. The hysteresis loop of the materials was tested by superconducting quantum interference device MPMS(SQUID) VSM magnetometer. The absorption spectra of Mo-edge were collected in a transmission mode at room temperature using a Si (111) double crystal monochromator at the 1W1B station of Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF, Beijing).

# **S3 Data Calculations**

The reflection loss (*RL*) values of the absorbers are calculated according to transmission line theory by the following Eqs. S1-S2 [S2]:

$$R_L(dB) = 20lg \mid \frac{Z_{in} - Z_0}{Z_{in} + Z_0} \mid$$
 (S1)

$$Z_{in} = Z_0 \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r}{\varepsilon_r}} \tanh\left[j\left(\frac{2\pi fd}{c}\right)\sqrt{\mu_r\varepsilon_r}\right]$$
(S2)

Where  $Z_0$  is the characteristic impedance of free space,  $Z_{in}$  is the normalized input impedance of absorber,  $\varepsilon_r$  and  $\mu_r$  are the relative complex permittivity and permeability, *d* is the layer thickness, *c* is the speed of light in free space and *f* is the frequency.

SRL is calculated based on RL value, considering the filler loading amount and the layer thickness [S3].

$$SR_L = R_L/(\text{filler loading} \times \text{thickness})$$
 (S3)

Where filler loading is the wt % in test ring.

The attenuation coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) [S4]:

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}f}{C} \sqrt{(\mu''\varepsilon'' - \mu'\varepsilon') + \sqrt{(\mu''\varepsilon'' - \mu'\varepsilon')^2 + (\mu'\varepsilon'' + \mu''\varepsilon')^2}}$$
(S4)

Cole–Cole semicircle model (Eq. S5) [S5]:

$$\left(\varepsilon' - \frac{\varepsilon_{s+}\varepsilon_{\infty}}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\varepsilon''\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{s-}\varepsilon_{\infty}}{2}\right)^2 \tag{S5}$$

Each semicircle in the  $\varepsilon' - \varepsilon''$  curve stands for a polarization relaxation process. The  $\varepsilon_s$  and  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$  represent the static dielectric constant, the dielectric constant at infinite frequency, respectively. The high number of semicircles means the strong dipole polarization process.

Debye relaxation correction formula (Eqs. S6-S7) [S6]:

$$\varepsilon_{r} = \varepsilon_{r\infty} + \frac{\varepsilon_{rs} - \varepsilon_{r\infty}}{1 + (i\omega\tau)^{1-A}} \quad (0 < A < 1) \tag{S6}$$
$$\varepsilon_{r}' = \varepsilon_{r\infty} + (\varepsilon_{rs} - \varepsilon_{r\infty}) \frac{1 + (\omega\tau)^{(1-A)} \sin\frac{\pi A}{2}}{1 + 2(\omega\tau)^{1-A} \sin\frac{\pi A}{2} + (\omega\tau)^{2(1-A)}} \tag{S7}$$

 $\varepsilon_p''$  and  $\varepsilon_c''$  are the dielectric loss contributed by polarization relaxation and charge transport, respectively, which can be obtained according to Debye theory (Eqs. S8-S10).

$$\varepsilon'' = \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty}{1 + (2\pi f)^2 \tau^2} \omega \tau + \frac{\sigma}{2\pi f \varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_p'' + \varepsilon_c'' \tag{S8}$$

$$\varepsilon_c^{\prime\prime} = \frac{\delta}{2\pi f \varepsilon_0} \tag{S9}$$

$$\varepsilon_p^{\prime\prime} = \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty}{1 + (2\pi f)^2 \tau^2} \omega \tau = \varepsilon^{\prime\prime} - \varepsilon_c^{\prime\prime}$$
(S10)

Where  $\varepsilon_s$  is the relative permittivity at static, and  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$  is at "infinite" high frequency.  $\sigma$  is the conductivity, Conductivity is a parameter used to describe the difficulty of charge flow in matter [S7].

$$(C_0 = \mu'(\mu'')^{-2} (f)^{-1} = 2\Pi \mu_0 d^2 \sigma/3)$$
(S11)

# **S4 Supplementary Results and Disscussion**



Fig. S1 The scheme of the synthesis routes for all the samples in this work



Fig. S2 SEM of (a) MET, (b) Fe@MET, (c) Co@MET and (d) CoFe@MET



Fig. S3 TEM (a) and the TEM-EDS mapping (b) of MET



Fig. S4 TEM (a) and EDS mapping (b) of Fe@MET S4/S18



Fig. S5 TEM (a) and EDS mapping (b) of Co@MET



Fig. S6 TEM (a) and EDS mapping (b) of CoFe@MET



**Fig. S7** PXRD results of synthesized MET, Fe@MET, Co@MET, CoFe@MET and the simulated curves of MET crystals (CCDC: 837471)



**Fig. S8** (a-c)  $N_2$  sorption isotherms (77 K) for Fe@MET (a), Co@MET (b), and CoFe@MET (c), and (d-f) Pore diameter distribution curves for Fe@MET (d), Co@MET (e), and CoFe@MET (f)



Fig. S9 The DSC and TGA curves of CoFe@MET under nitrogen atmosphere at the range of Room temperature to 900  $^{\circ}$ C



Fig. S10 SEM (a-d) of Fe@PCS



Fig. S11 SEM (a-d) of Co@PCS  $% \left( a,b\right) =\left( a,b\right) =\left($ 



Fig. S12 SEM (a-d) of CoFe@PCS



Fig. S13 TEM (a-b) and mapping (c) of Fe@PCS



Fig. S14 TEM (a-b) and EDS mapping (c) of Co@PCS  $% \left( \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right) =\left( \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right) \left( \mathbf$ 

S**8**/S**18** 



Fig. S15 TEM (a-b) and EDS mapping (c) of CoFe@PCS



**Fig. S16** (a)  $N_2$  sorption isotherms (77 K) for Fe@PCS, Co@PCS, CoFe@PCS. (b) Pore diameter distribution curves for Fe@PCS, Co@PCS, CoFe@PCS



Fig. S17 PXRD of Fe@PCS, Co@PCS, and CoFe@PCS

Nano-Micro Letters



Fig. S18. Raman spectra for Fe@PCS, Co@PCS, CoFe@PCS

Table S1 ICP-OES results of of Fe@PCS, Co@PCS and CoFe@PCS

	Fe@PCS	Co@PCS	CoFe@PCS
Со	-	0.602	0.344
Fe	0.672	-	0.316







**Fig. S20** The best of RL values and the effective frequency bandwidth (RL < -10 dB) of Fe@PCS (**a**), Co@PCS (**b**), CoFe@PCS (**c**). The experimental and theoretical fitted dm values of Fe@PCS (**d**), Co@PCS (**e**), CoFe@PCS (**f**)



**Fig. S21** The real part of permittivity (**a**), imaginary part of permittivity (**b**), dielectric loss tangent (**c**), real part of permeability (**d**), imaginary part of permeability (**e**) and magnetic loss tangent of Fe@PCS, Co@PCS and CoFe@PCS



**Fig. S22** The calculated  $\varepsilon_{p}^{\prime\prime}$  (**a**) and  $\varepsilon_{c}^{\prime\prime}$  (**b**) of Fe@PCS, Co@PCS and CoFe@PCS



Fig. S23 The magnetic hysteresis loop of Fe@PCS, Co@PCS and CoFe@PCS S11/S18



**Fig. S24** HR-TEM and its corresponding hologram images (**a-b**), charge density map (**c**), the profile of charge density in the region along the white arrow (**d**) of Fe@PCS



**Fig. S25** HR-TEM and its corresponding hologram images (**a**-**b**), charge density map (**c**), the profile of charge density in the region along the white arrow (**d**) of Co@PCS



Fig. S26  $|Z_{in}/Z_0|$  and RL plots of Fe@PCS,Co@PCS and CoFe@PCS



**Fig. S27**  $\varepsilon'$ ,  $\varepsilon''$ ,  $\mu'$  and  $\mu''$  contribution of Fe-N<sub>4</sub> in Fe@PCS

Nano-Micro Letters



**Fig. S28**  $\varepsilon'$ ,  $\varepsilon''$ ,  $\mu'$  and  $\mu''$  contribution of Co-N<sub>4</sub> in Co@PCS



Fig. S29  $\varepsilon'$ ,  $\varepsilon''$ ,  $\mu'$  and  $\mu''$  contribution of Fe/Co-N<sub>4</sub> in CoFe@PCS

Samples	<i>R</i> L ( <b>dB</b> )	Thickness (mm)	Bandwidth (GHz)	Loading (wt%)	SR <sub>L</sub> (dB mg <sup>-1</sup> mm <sup>-1</sup> )	Refs.
CNT-CoFe@C-900	-40.00	3.0	5.62	10%	-133	[S8]
Co <sub>0.8</sub> Fe <sub>2.2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /rGO	-51.20	2.1	5.7	30%	-81	[S9]
CFs@H-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /CoFe	-40.85	3.5	2.14	30%	-39	[S10]
Co <sub>0.2</sub> Fe <sub>2.8</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	-43.45	3.0	5.58	30%	-48	[S11]
CoFe@N-CNT/rGO	-33.2	2.0	3.8	50%	-33	[S12]
Co <sub>15</sub> Fe <sub>85</sub> @C/RGO-2	-33.4	2.5	9.2	30%	-44	[S13]
Fe–Co/NC/rGO	-43.36	2.5	9.29	30%	-57	[S14]
MWCNTs/FeCoNi@C	-36	2.0	4.0	30%	-60	[S15]
Fe-Co/NPC/RGO	-52.9	2.5	3.1	30%	-70	[S16]
CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /FeCo	-54.3	1.2	15.2	50%	-90	[S17]
CoFe@C@MnO <sub>2</sub>	-64	1.3	9.2	30%	-164	[S18]
ZnO/FeNiMo	-28	1.5	4.54	30%	-61	[S19]
rGO/CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	-56.3	1.4	3.4	30%	-134	[S20]
Co <sub>1-x</sub> NixFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	-37.66	2.1	2.64	30%	-59	[S21]
CoFe <sub>0.26</sub> @Co@C	-62.5	1.5	14.7	30%	-138	[S22]
CoFe2/BTO-1 HNFs	-82.4	1.2	5	50%	-137	[S23]
FeCoNi-MOF-74	-64.75	2.1	8.08	38%	-81	[S24]
$Ba_{0.8}Dy_{0.2}Co_2Fe_{16}O_{27}$	-15	1.5	7	30%	-33	[S25]
Ni(Co/Zn/Cu)Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiC@Si O <sub>2</sub>	-32.76	3.0	2.1	30%	-36	[S26]
CoFe@PCS-900	-57.7	2.0	4.24	15%	-192	This work

**Table S2** Comparison of microwave absorption performance of CoFe@PCS with otherreported CoFe-based carbon EW absorbers

# **Supplementary References**

- [S1] F. Gándara, F.J. Uribe-Romo, D.K. Britt, H. Furukawa, L. Lei et al., Porous, conductive metal-triazolates and their structural elucidation by the charge-flipping method. Chem. Eur. J. 18(34), 10595-10601 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201103433
- [S2] E. Cui, F. Pan, Z. Xiang, Z. Liu, L. Yu et al., Engineering dielectric loss of FeCo/polyvinylpyrrolidone core-shell nanochains@graphene oxide composites with excellent microwave absorbing properties. Adv. Eng. Mater. 23(1), 2000827 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202000827</u>
- [S3] J. Wang, L. Liu, S. Jiao, K. Ma, J. Lv et al., Hierarchical carbon fiber@MXene@MoS<sub>2</sub> core-sheath synergistic microstructure for tunable and efficient microwave absorption. Adv. Funct. Mater. **30**(45), 2002595 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202002595</u>
- [S4] X. Gao, X. Wu, J. Qiu, High electromagnetic waves absorbing performance of a multilayer-like structure absorber containing activated carbon hollow porous fibers– carbon nanotubes and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. Adv. Electron. Mater. 4(5), 1700565 (2018). <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aelm.201700565</u>
- [S5] P. Liu, Y. Zhang, J. Yan, Y. Huang, L. Xia et al., Synthesis of lightweight N-doped graphene foams with open reticular structure for high-efficiency electromagnetic wave absorption. Chem. Eng. J. 368, 285-298 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.02.193</u>
- [S6] F. Pan, Z. Liu, B. Deng, Y. Dong, X. Zhu et al., Lotus leaf-derived gradient hierarchical porous C/MoS<sub>2</sub> morphology genetic composites with wideband and tunable electromagnetic absorption performance. Nano-Micro Lett. 13, 43 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-020-00568-1</u>
- [S7] C. Wu, Z. Chen, M. Wang, X. Cao, Y. Zhang et al., Confining tiny MoO<sub>2</sub> clusters into reduced graphene oxide for highly efficient low frequency microwave absorption. Small 16(30), 2001686 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202001686</u>
- [S8] Y. Wang, H. Wang, J, Ye, L. Shi, X. Feng, Magnetic CoFe alloy@C nanocomposites derived from ZnCo-MOF for electromagnetic wave absorption. Chem. Eng. J. 383, 123096 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123096</u>
- [S9] W. Shen, B. Ren, K. Cai, Y. Song, W. Wang, Synthesis of nonstoichiometric Co<sub>0.8</sub>Fe<sub>2.2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/reduced graphene oxide (rGO) nanocomposites and their excellent electromagnetic wave absorption property. J. Alloys Compd. **774**, 997-1008 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.09.361</u>
- [S10] P. Yin, L. Zhang, J. Wang, X. Feng, J. Dai et al., Facile preparation of cotton-derived carbon fibers loaded with hollow Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CoFe NPs for significant low-frequency electromagnetic absorption. Powder Technol. **380**, 134-142 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2020.11.044</u>
- [S11] Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. Tao, W. Sun, M. Liu et al., Co<sub>0.2</sub>Fe<sub>2.8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/C composite nanofibers with designable 3D hierarchical architecture for high-performance electromagnetic wave absorption. Ceram. Int. 47(16), 23275-23284 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.05.040</u>
- [S12] X. Zhang, Z. Zhao, J. Xu, X. Ouyang, C. Zhu et al., N-doped carbon nanotube arrays on reduced graphene oxide as multifunctional materials for energy devices and

absorption of electromagnetic wave. Carbon **177**, 216-225 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2021.02.085</u>

- [S13] S. Bao, W. Tang, Z. Song, Q. Jian, Z. Jiang et al., Synthesis of sandwich-like Co<sub>15</sub>Fe<sub>85</sub>@C/RGO multicomponent composites with tunable electromagnetic parameters and microwave absorption performance. Nanoscale **12**(36), 18790-18799 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D0NR04615A</u>
- [S14] S. Wang, Y. Xu, R. Fu, H. Zhu, Q. Jiao et al., Rational construction of hierarchically porous Fe–Co/N-doped carbon/rGO composites for broadband microwave absorption. Nano-Micro Lett. 11, 76 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-019-0307-8</u>
- [S15] O. Mirzaee, I. Huynen, M. Zarainejad, Electromagnetic wave absorption characteristics of single and double layer absorbers based on trimetallic FeCoNi@C metal–organic framework incorporated with MWCNTs. Synth. Met. 271, 116634 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synthmet.2020.116634</u>
- [S16] Y. Wang, X. Gao, C. Lin, L. Shi, X. Li et al., Metal organic frameworks-derived Fe-Co nanoporous carbon/graphene composite as a high-performance electromagnetic wave absorber. J. Alloys Compd. 785, 765-773 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.01.271
- [S17] X. Su, J. Wang, X. Zhang, S. Huo, W. Chen et al., One-step preparation of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/FeCo/graphite nanosheets hybrid composites with tunable microwave absorption performance. Ceram. Int. 46(8), 12353-12363 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.01.286</u>
- [S18] Y. Zhang, Z. Yang, M. Li, L.Yang, J. Liu et al., Heterostructured CoFe@C@MnO<sub>2</sub> nanocubes for efficient microwave absorption. Chem. Eng. J. 382, 123039 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123039</u>
- [S19] L. Zheng, H, Zhu, M. Li, Preparation and application in electromagnetic absorption of ZnO/FeNiMo composite. Rare Met. 33(5), 573-577 (2013). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12598-013-0146-6</u>
- [S20] H. Zong, H. Yang, J. Dong, L. Ma, Y. Lin et al., Construction of a three-dimensional rGO/CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanorods composite with enhanced microwave absorption performance. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. **31**(21),18590-18604 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-020-04402-7</u>
- [S21] Z. Tong, Q. Yao, J. Feng, L. Cheng, T. Chuang et al., Effects of Ni-doping on microstructure, magnetic and microwave absorption properties of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Mater. Sci. Eng. B 268, 115092 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2021.115092</u>
- [S22] B. Wang, W. Ruan, C. Mu, A. Nie, F. Wen et al., Direct one-step synthesis of CoFex@Co@C hybrids derived from a metal organic framework for a lightweight and high-performance microwave absorber. Nanotechnology 31(9), 95703 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/ab5620</u>
- [S23] G. Guan, G. Gao, J. Xiang, J. Yang, L. Gong et al., CoFe<sub>2</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> hybrid nanofibers for microwave absorption. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 3(8), 8424-8437 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsanm.0c01855</u>
- [S24] J. Quyang, Z. He, Y. Zhang, H. Yang, Q. Zha, Trimetallic FeCoNi@C nanocomposite hollow spheres derived from metal–organic frameworks with superior electromagnetic wave absorption ability. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. Interfaces 11(42), 39304-39314 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b11430</u>

- [S25] L. Wang, X. Hang, J. Zhang, H. Wang, Q. Zhang et al., Microstructure and microwave electromagnetic properties of Dy<sup>3+</sup>-doped W-type hexaferrites. Rare Met. **30**(5),505-509 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12598-011-0420-4</u>
- [S26] P. Wang, P. Liu, S. Ye, Preparation and microwave absorption properties of Ni(Co/Zn/Cu)Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/SiC@SiO<sub>2</sub> composites. Rare Met. **38**(1), 59-63 (2016). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12598-016-0752-1</u>